Texas march

‘Death row? Hell NO!’

By Gloria Rubac
Austin, Texas

It was a perfect weather day at the Texas Capitol in Austin Oct. 22, for hundreds who participated in two marches and a rally against the racist anti-poor death penalty. In three emotional hours, love, solidarity, determination, anger, heartbeat and resilience filled the crisp autumn air as activists held the 23rd Annual Texas March and Rally to Abolish the Death Penalty. A carload of people came from the Rio Grande Valley near the Texas-Mexico border and others from nearby cities.

The Kids Against the Death Penalty led a loud and militant march around the capitol to the governor’s mansion and through downtown, totally disturbing the peace.

Austin’s progressive singer/songwriter Sara Hickman warmed up the crowd early on with her vocals. Seven-year-old Marilyn Santoyo thrilled everyone with an a cappella version of Andra Day’s Grammy-nominated hit, “Rise Up.” It is an anthem of protest and empowerment that Marilyn sings outside the death house in Huntsville as an execution is taking place inside.

The voices of the mothers, brothers, sisters, spouses, cousins and children of people sentenced for execution painted a picture of the pervasive and cruel injustice of the death penalty, as they spoke in front of a state capitol built by incarcerated workers who were not paid.

Two speakers condemned the Texas “law of parties,” which sentences people to death even if they did not kill anyone – and in several cases when they were not even present when a killing took place. Lydia Garza represented her son Humberto Garza, convicted under this unfair law. Over a dozen family and friends were wearing their new T-shirts with Garza’s photo, which read “Free Humberto ‘Beto’ Garza, Victim of the Law of Parties.”

Terri Been, an event organizer, told how her brother, Jeff Wood, was not even in the store when a cashier was killed. Wood had no idea that his friend had a gun, when he drove him to a convenience store. Wood heard the gunshot, while sitting outside in his pickup. Yet Texas sentenced both men to die.

Been called the names of others convicted under this cruel law: Rudy Medrano, Juan Ramirez and Randy Halprin, who are still fighting, and Bobby Garza, who was executed in 2013.

Voices of those exonerated

Two men, who had been exonerated off death row, gave hope to the crowd. Ron Keine survived New Mexico’s death row, when the truth finally set him free. It took a minute to process the fact he gave, that over 190 people condemned to be executed have been exonerated in the U.S.

As we write this editorial, rumors abound that the U.S. military has already moved to seize an airport in Haiti. The developments of the past few months make such a rumor believable.

Yes, believable, but still criminal. It is hard to imagine an intervention more harmful to Haiti’s people. The difficult social conditions now for the people of Haiti were caused by prior U.S. interventions.

Even since the enslaved African people of Haiti liberated themselves with a heroic revolution that drove out the French colonialist slaveholders in 1804, the rulers of the U.S. and France have made Haitians suffer for this “original sin.”

The Southern slavocrats who dominated the fledgling U.S. in 1804 were terrified that Haiti’s struggle for liberation would spread. They punished Haiti and its people for liberating themselves.

Washington expanded its power, seizing half of Mexico in 1848 and setting the goal of dominating the Western Hemisphere with the Monroe Doctrine.
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Boston’s proclamation last year that the second Monday of October every year would be Indigenous Peoples Day—“In lieu of” Columbus Day—was a victory for Native peoples, since the creation of U.S. settler colonialism, the theft and devastation of their lands and cultures, denial of all rights, the torture and genocide, began and continued in what is now the state of Massachusetts.

Then on Oct. 8, 2021, President Joe Biden proclaimed a National Indigenous Peoples Day and acknowledged the atrocities “European explorers inflicted on Tribal nations and Indigenous communities.” These pronouncements came about due to the strong, united struggles by Indigenous peoples and their allies, notably at Standing Rock against the Dakota Access Pipeline and the courageous, militant fight that shut down the Keystone XL Pipeline.

The movement for recognition of Oct. 12 as Indigenous Peoples Day began in Berkeley, California, following a huge march called by the American Indian Movement in San Francisco on Oct. 10, 1973, protesting the celebration of conquistador mass murderer Christopher Columbus’s arrival 500 years earlier. Since then, 14 states, Washington, D.C., and over 130 cities have dumped the holiday honoring the genocidal conquistador and recognize Indigenous peoples, their knowledge and cultures on that day.

Workers World has continually supported the righteous struggles of Native peoples on the streets and in our newspaper. WW joins Indigenous communities to demand: Drop “Columbus Day” as a federal holiday! Celebrate the Indigenous Peoples Day in every city, town and state! Down with white supremacy!

Your donations matter!

Workers World relies on our readers’ backing. The WW Supporter Program was founded 45 years ago to help build this socialist newspaper. Since the early 1990s, the time when many local and website workers.org, when WW entered its full-time phase, the WW articles are posted daily and the PDF file of every weekly paper is displayed.

Workers.org contains news and an analysis of global and U.S. struggles and is a resource for political activists. The website posts firsthand accounts by writer-activists in many countries, translated by our staff. Timely articles by worker-organizers keep the newspaper current on U.S. union drives, struggle with reports on anti-war, anti-racist and other progressive movements.

Unforeseen circumstances during the pandemic caused us to reduce the number of printed issues to once a month. But the weekly online newspaper has never been skipped during this 2 ½-year health crisis. While WW’s staff is working both the printed newspaper and the website require that monthly expenses be paid. Although many online publications require fees to read their articles, WW does not.

Our articles are free to all readers.

But WW needs your help! Join the WW Supporter Program! Can you give $50, $100, $200, $300 or more per year? For a contribution of $50 or more, you will receive 12 print issues a year and a weekly email of the online issue. Supporters can receive the book, “What road to socialism?” by notifying us. By donating, you help class truth reach more readers.

Write monthly or annual checks to Workers World. Mail them with your name, address and email address to Workers World, 147 W. 24th St., 2nd Floor, New York, NY 10011. Or sign up to donate online at workers.org.
We appreciate your support.

Join us in the fight for socialism!

Workers World Party is a revolutionary Marxist-Leninist party inside the belly of the imperialist beast. We are a multinational, multigendered and multigenerational organization that not only aims to abolish capitalism, but to build a socialist society because it’s the only way forward!

Capitalism is imperialism that threatens the peoples of the world and the planet itself in the neverending quest for ever-greater profits.

Capitalism means war and austerity, racism and repression, attacks on immigrants, women, Muslims, LGBTQ2S+ oppression and mistreatment of people with disabilities. It means joblessness, increasing homelessness and impoverishment and loss of hope for the future. No social problems can be solved under capitalism.

The U.S. is the richest country in the world, yet no one has a guaranteed right to shelter, food, water, health care, education or anything else — unless they can pay for it. Wages are lower than ever, and youth are saddled with seemingly insurmountable student debt, if they even make it to college. Black, Brown and Indigenous youth and trans people are ganged down by cops and bigots on a regular basis.

The ruthless ruling class today seeks to wipe out struggles by people’s movements. The super-rich and their armies in the imperialist world and the planet itself in the neverending quest for ever-greater profits.

WW fights for socialism because the working class produces all wealth in society, and this wealth should remain in the hands, not be stolen in the form of capitalist profits. The wealth workers create should be socially owned and its distribution planned to satisfy and guarantee basic human needs.

Since 1930, Workers World Party has been out in the streets defending the workers and oppressed here and worldwide. If you’re interested in Marxism, socialism and fighting for a socialist future, please contact a WW branch near you.

If you are interested in joining Workers World Party contact: 212.627.2994
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By Joe Piette
Philadelphia

Students and community activists working to tackle climate and housing crises put a temporary halt to a major Ivy League football match Oct. 22, drawing the attention of the sports world.

About 70 students, most from the University of Pennsylvania and others from Drexel and Temple universities, and community activists organized by Fossil Free Penn blocked the UPenn–Yale football game at Franklin Field at the end of homecoming halftime. They bunched on the blue and red letter “P,” painted in the center of the field, and refused to leave.

The protesters called time-out to the game and displayed their demands in black lettering, three orange banners hung to UPenn officials: “DIVEST; “Pay PILOTS;” and “Save the U.C. Townhomes.”

The student and community protesters demanded the university divest its billions in endowment funds from fossil fuel assets. The fossil fuel industry is directly responsible for the crisis of climate change, as well as disseminating false information and funding political corruption. FFP demands UPenn stop new investments in the fossil fuel industry, remove direct and commingled holdings in the top 200 fossil fuel companies within five years, and reinvest a portion of the extricated funds into clean energy assets.

The “Pay PILOTS” (payments in lieu of taxes) demand refers to the fact that “nonprofit” UPenn is the only Ivy League school that doesn’t contribute to its home city through voluntary payment of property taxes. While the University’s roughly $20.7 billion endowment and aggressive building projects have led to massive land accumulation, that property is completely tax-free.

Over $2.5 billion of tax-exempt property in the city is owned by UPenn, according to an Oct. 2, 2019 editorial in The Daily Pennsylvanian, an independent student media organization. Activists want UPenn to pay into an Educational Equity Fund governed by the local school district and the City of Philadelphia.

The “Save UC Townhomes” demand concerns a nearby low-income housing complex, whose residents are threatened with eviction. For 40 years UPenn worked with developers to persistently push Black and Brown Philadelphiaans out of their neighborhood, then known as the Black Bottom. UC Townhomes was initially built to be an affordable housing safe space for those who were originally displaced by university developments in the 1970s and 80s.

Now UPenn remains noticeably silent, as developers threaten the very same harm as the university did half a century earlier. This is what Black displacement, white supremacy and racial injustice look like. After several eviction dates were postponed due to militant protests, UC Townhomes residents are now facing Dec. 27 evictions.

Which side are you on?

In union with the on-field protest, well-organized activists handed out flyers to sports fans in their seats, as their comrades held up two additional orange banners overhead the second-level railings. On one side of the field, a banner read “We demand justice from Penn,” and on the other, “Which side are you on?”

After 35 minutes, under threat of arrests, most of the demonstrators walked off the field and were escorted out of the stadium. The remaining 19 protesters were handcuffed and taken to a police van 30 minutes later, as their comrades who had left the field converged and chanted slogans until the police vehicle drove away.

The activists then marched to the Police Precinct at 40th and Chestnut, where they blocked the street for hours, rallying, chanting and singing, until all 19 people arrested were eventually released one by one. Each arressted was charged with “Defiant Trespass.”

FFP members had earlier organized a protest disrupting Penn President Liz Magill’s speech at UPenn’s Aug. 29 convocation for the 2,900 students of the incoming class of 2026. (workers.org/2022/09/66534/)

Disgusted with UPenn’s lack of response to their demands, FFP held a protest encampment in the middle of UPenn’s campus from Sept. 14 to Oct. 24.

The day after the game disruption, FFP members and allies continued organizing and posting on social media, in support of the 19 arrestees defending themselves from their charges, and put together another protest scheduled for 4 p.m. Oct. 26 University Council meeting.

FFP has posted a “Why Protest at a Football Game” statement, which concludes: “If the University wants protests to end, the answer is simple: take action. Otherwise, expect resistance.”

Philadelphia protest opposes developers plans targeting Chinatown

By Joe Piette
Philadelphia

Billed as a chance to “network and shmooze with Philadelphia’s top real estate developers and professionals,” the Jewish Federation Real Estate (JFRE) meeting Oct. 21 became, instead, an opportunity to protest gentrifiers’ plans targeting Chinatown.

Dozens of people, organized by the Philadelphia Folklore Project in solidarity with the Save Chinatown Coalition, turned out with signs, banners, songs and chants. The protest participants—a majority of them Jewish—challenged the predatory development schemes being peddled by Philadelphia 76ers arena proponents and billionaire developers David Adelman and Josh Harris.

Sports arena threatens Chinatown

Chinatown across the country have been decimated by sports arenas: the Kingdome in Seattle, Busch Memorial Stadium in St. Louis and the Capital One Arena in Washington, D.C. Over 3,000 Chinese residents in Washington’s Chinatown dwindled to just 300 after that arena was built in 1997. Philadelphia’s arena was built in 1997. Philadelphia’s Chinatown dwindled to just 300 after that. Over 3,000 Chinese residents in Washington’s Chinatown dwindled to just 300 after that.

The 76ers’ proposed arena threatens to raise rents, overwhelm Chinatown with traffic during games and drive away elderly and lower-income residents. Residents will not want to live next to an area that resembles the dead zones around arenas and stadiums in other urban centers.

The displacement of Chinatown’s residents mirrors the displacement of the Black Bottom neighborhood in West Philadelphia, where University City Townhomes residents have been fighting eviction by gentrifiers and developers for 18 months.

The protest at the Kimmel Center, where the developers were meeting, promises to be one of many actions over the next few months. Meanwhile, supporters are urged to sign a “Stop Playing Games With our Community” petition at: bit.ly/3U5yEbd.

Jonathan Leibovic speaks at a protest against building a basketball arena in Philadelphia’s Chinatown, Oct. 22.
Militant Teamsters blockade breaks Sysco's scab operation

By Steve Gillis

For two weeks beginning Oct. 1, Sysco Boston — an outpost in Plympton of the global food and food equipment distributor to thousands of schools, hospitals, military mess halls and assisted-living facilities — took a “slap and burn” approach to contract negotiations out of the playbook of Amazon and Starbucks. With the armed assistance of massive regional police forces from Boston, Plymouth and Brockton, Sysco ran scab-truck drive through the strike lines of Teamsters Local 653.

Then in the predawn darkness Oct. 17, multiple big rigs driven by members of Teamsters Joint Council 10, headquartered at Local 25’s hall in Boston, caught Sysco’s forces by surprise. With air horns blasting, the union set up a military-style blockade of Sysco’s gates, shutting down its scab operation on live TV morning news.

Chris O’Brien, the neighboring sheriff’s department of “America’s Hometown” Plymouth, accustomed to beating heads in their infamous county jail, were furious at being caught off guard. They rushed in with their military-supplied equipment and riot, throwing strikers to the ground and 16 Teamsters into arrest wagons.

In just a few hours, Teamsters International President Sean O’Brien ordered solidarity pickets set up at Sysco operations from Tolleson, Arizona, to New York City, with rolling strikes gathering at gates countrywide. Within 48 hours, Sysco caved and coughed up millions of dollars. On Oct. 20, Local 653 voted nearly unanimously to ratify a new five-year contract with an unprecedented $11-an-hour wage increase. The new contract beat back many onerous concessions demanded by Sysco, preserving and improving the Teamsters Local 653 health plan and other benefits and working conditions.

This victory catapulted Teamsters negotiations with Sysco nationwide.

That day the Teamsters International issued a press release, stating in part: “After months of all-out economic warfare between Sysco and Teamsters across the country, the union has achieved a phenomenal victory. This morning, workers at Sysco voted overwhelm-

Despite Albany Amazon setback, union surge continues

By Martha Grevatt

“It was a sham election,” said Amazon Labor Union President Chris Smalls, referring to the union representation election at an Amazon warehouse near Albany, New York. “Workers were subjected to intimidation and retaliation on a daily basis and even the workers who volunteered to be election observers were faced with threats of termination.” (CNBC, Oct. 18)

The lopsided results, 406-206 against the ALU, were announced Oct. 18. However, the “no” votes represented about a quarter of the total workforce at ALBU; about one-third of the 900+ workers did not cast a ballot.

The union filed 27 Unfair Labor Practice charges against Amazon, in the period leading up to the vote, and Amazon filed none. ALU supporters report that individuals disguised as Amazon workers, including at least one employed by a union-busting consultant, followed workers around and badgered union supporters. The ALU may appeal the results, based on the illegal union-busting tactics Amazon is now well-known for.

Worker resistance to Amazon is continuing, with recent walkouts in California, Georgia and Illinois and union support petitions filed by the ALU in Kentucky and California.

“We are filled with resolve to continue and expand our campaigns for fair treatment for all Amazon workers,” Smalls said. “You miss 100% of the shots you don’t take.” (New York Times, Oct. 18) The workers want higher pay and better benefits, but most of all they want safe working conditions.

While the Albany vote is a setback, it in no way signals a reversal of the general trend in favor of unions and union organizing.

Consider the union wins at 250+ Starbucks stores in less than a year since the organizing drive began. Consider the first-ever union wins at Apple and REI.

Consider the workers who already have unions that are striking and winning, most recently at Sysco in Massachusetts.

A possible national railroad strike — still on the horizon with at least one rail union rejecting the tentative agreement brokered by President Joe Biden — would shut down a big section of the capitalist economy.

That frightens the ruling class. The vote in Albany does not negate the rising tide of worker power and the determination of workers to fight back as giant corporations threaten their health and their lives.
Jury drops most charges against Wendy Howard

By Judy Greenspan
Oakland, California

The Kern County, California, jury finally reached a verdict Oct. 21 in the trial of Wendy Howard, who faced charges for defending her family against her ex-partner, after more than a decade of sexual and emotional abuse. To the relief of Howard, her family and supporters, she got a phone call from him. She asked him to speak about her spouse, Ruben Gutierrez, who was unfairly convicted of a murder he didn’t commit. He has dedicated his life to correcting this injustice. His father, Delma Banks, spent over 30 years on death row; and just when he was going to be given a new trial, his incompetent lawyers urged him to take a plea deal in 2012. Now his father won’t be eligible for parole until he’s 65 in 2024. Jefferson is running for U.S. Congress, so “working people will have a bold advocate in the U.S. Capitol.”

Police threat activist Saturday’s event ended as co-chair Cjai Johnson-Foster sang “Happy Birthday” to her spouse, Kenneth Foster, who was hours away from execution in 2007 when his sentence was commuted to life. Then the crowd, led by Jefferson, sang “Happy Anniversary” to Yancy and Juan Balderas for their 19th year together.

The one disgusting interruption came when the capitol police threatened to arrest activist Terri Been, because a few young children were using chalk to write anti-death penalty words on a sidewalk. The kids had to take bottles of water to wash their work off before the fascist police decided not to arrest her.

As things were winding down, people ate birthday and anniversary cake, signed letters to the six women on Texas death row, signed birthday greetings for Foster and signed petitions to end administrative lock-up, or ALU; a secret prison within a prison where Foster is housed at the Coffield Prison Unit.

The energy generated will continue as activists struggle to stop the two November Texas executions and the five already set for 2023.

The writer is a founding member of the Texas Death Penalty Abolition Movement. Miranda Crisman, co-editor of the Tear Down the Walls prison page, also attended the Oct. 22 event. Both are members of the Prisoners Solidarity Committee.
Women's Alliance hosts Canada-wide assembly

By Marie Boti and IWA Canada organizing committee
Montreal, Canada

The following article is an edited report. Go to internationalwomensalliance.org and facebook.com/IWA grassrootswomenforliberation for more information.

Activists from across Canada met together in Montreal Oct. 14-16 for the International Women's Alliance (IWA) Canada-wide assembly under the theme "Many Struggles, One Fight / Women: Defenders of Life and Land."

IWA, founded in 2010, is a global alliance of grassroots-based women’s organizers united in building a democratic, anti-imperialist and progressive women’s movement in the 21st century. IWA has nearly 150 member organizations in the world.

On Day 1 of the IWA assembly, we listened to solidarity speeches delivered by women leaders, including Susanna Malmierca Benitez (Consul General of Cuba in Montreal). We heard on video from Azra Talat Sayeed (Vice-Chair of the International League of Peoples’ Organizations) and Muriel Smit, the International Coordinator of the Indigenous Community in British Columbia.

Delegates attended afternoon workshops, focused on some of the challenging issues facing women’s communities, including war and militarism, extractivism, forced migration, organizing in a neoliberal context and violence against women.

Planning for the struggles ahead

Workshop speakers were all seasoned activists with many experiences to share, from Barbara Waldern of Just Peace in Vancouver, to Haitian community advocate Chantal Issé ofpathfinders.org, to women’s rights activist of Nkaka Pumux Indigenous community in British Columbia.

IWA protest against war and misogyny, Montreal, Oct. 16.

Discussion focused on how women are uniquely impacted by capitalism and imperialism through neoliberal policies, patriarchy, racism and gender oppression. The workshops were effective in understanding the histories of women’s struggles in Canada and all over the world, making connections between these, and building relationships to advance mutual struggles. The day ended with a beautiful and powerful cultural night of dance, song and spoken word.

On Day 2, delegates reviewed the IWA Basis of Unity and heard about IWA’s global campaigns focused on War and Militarism and on Women over Profit. Thelma Castro and Marie Boti facilitated the reports from each workshop as delegates discussed and adopted a series of resolutions and an action plan to address these issues which a coordinating committee will help implement.

The day ended on the street, with a rally against war and militarism, as part of the Oct. 15-22 week of action called by the United National Antinuclear Coalition (UNAC). A march to the symbolic Place du 6 décembre, a square not far from the conference location at the Université de Montréal, was held in memory of the 14 women who were gunned down at the Polytechnic Institute of this University in 1989 by a misogynist man, simply for being women.

United in our struggles and stronger with our shared knowledge and connections, we are ready to continue building the International Women’s Alliance across Canada and around the world! Long live international solidarity!

Nuclear war threat from U.S./NATO, not Russia

By Betsey Piette
Philadelphia

This October marked the 60th anniversary of the Cuban Missile Crisis — when the U.S. and the Soviet Union were on the brink of a global nuclear war. The current conflict between the U.S. and Russia, brought about by U.S./NATO use of the war in Ukraine to promote a broader conflict, contains many similarities.

NATO kicked off its annual “Steadfast Noon” operation on Oct. 17 to test its ability to wage nuclear conflict; the target of this operation was Russia. U.S. nuclear-capable B-52 bombers now flying to Europe from U.S. bases are practicing dropping nuclear weapons on Russian targets. Other planes from European air bases are practicing deploying NATO nuclear weapons against Russia. These “war games” leave little choice except to respond with our own nuclear exercises.

Just as Cuba was not the danger to global peace 60 years ago, Russia is not today. The threat comes from the Biden administration and its NATO allies.

Yet corporate media pundits, with increasing frequency, repeat President Joe Biden’s statements that instead of the threat comes from Russian President Vladimir Putin. At a fundraiser Oct. 6, Biden claimed Putin “talks about potential use of tactical nuclear weapons on or beyond our chemical weapons, because his military is . . . significantly underperforming.” Biden ended his remarks stating: “I don’t think there’s any such thing as the ability to easily use a tactical nuclear weapon and not have collateral damage.” (Philadelphia, Oct. 23)

This statement from a sitting president of the only country in the world that has actually used nuclear, biological and chemical weapons against other countries cannot be dismissed.

Russia, on the other hand, is not carrying out nuclear war games against the U.S. or any other NATO country. Putin retreated from nuclear warspeak in a televised speech Sept. 21, when he accused the U.S. and NATO of engaging in “nuclear blackmail”; he was referring to statements made by representatives of leading NATO countries about possibly using nuclear weapons against Russia. These statements included those made by the former British Prime Minister Liz Truss. Putin was simply asserting Russia’s right to defend itself, if the country’s territory was threatened.

Both Russia (then part of the Soviet Union) and the U.S. signed the 1968 Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, along with France, Britain and China, which also have nuclear arsenals. Israel, a close U.S. ally, has never signed the accord, however, even though it has a nuclear arsenal.

In 2019, then-President Donald Trump withdrew the U.S. from the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty. And Biden has not resurrected this treaty.

Prime Minister Liz Truss. Putin was simply asserting Russia’s right to defend itself, if the country’s territory was threatened.

Both Russia (then part of the Soviet Union) and the U.S. signed the 1968 Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, along with France, Britain and China, which also have nuclear arsenals. Israel, a close U.S. ally, has never signed the accord, however, even though it has a nuclear arsenal.

In 2019, then-President Donald Trump withdrew the U.S. from the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty. And Biden has not resurrected this treaty.

Nuclear weapons:

"just another military tool"

Russia published a doctrine that spells out the “exceptional circumstances” under which they can use nuclear weapons, (from remarks by Scott Ritter, Scheerpost, Oct. 20) Biden, like Trump, follows policies set by his predecessors.

The published U.S. nuclear doctrine includes a policy of nuclear preemption, established by former President George W. Bush. This policy considers nuclear weapons as just another military tool, to be used when needed. In 2010 then-President Richard Nixon openly threatened to use nuclear weapons against Vietnam to end that war.

Nixon used chemical weapons in Vietnam, including the highly carcinogenic Agent Orange. Bush used depleted uranium-coated ammunition during his devastating war in Iraq, leaving Fallujah and other areas permanently radioactive with uranium dust. He accidentally admitted it was a “wholly unjustified and brutal weapon,” when he said Iraq instead of Ukraine during a speech in May.

And while the U.S. has participated in global conventions to ban chemical warfare, Washington never signed on to the articles prohibiting the use of chemical weapons, which it has used repeatedly in Iraq, Afghanistan and Syria.

As a candidate, Biden had promised to reduce U.S. arms sales. But a report by the Quincy Institute for Responsible Statecraft (QI), released Oct. 21, found that U.S. arms sales have increased under Biden, with weapons even going to countries the U.S. considers “repressive regimes.”

Qi found that roughly two-thirds of current military conflicts involve one or more parties armed by the U.S. Qi researchers reported: “Current U.S. arms policy and practice too often fuel war rather than deter it. Roughly two-thirds of current conflicts — 34 out of 46 — involve one or more parties armed by the U.S. In some cases, U.S. arms sales to combatants in these wars are modest, while in others they play a major role in fuelling and sustaining the conflict.” (TeleSUR English, Oct. 21)

War has become the lifeline of 21st century capitalism. Weapons manufacturing provides the highest profits, as politicians drain funds from domestic spending programs to finance expanding wars. Pushed to accept cuts in benefits, wages and slashed pension funds, workers are increasingly fighting back with general strikes in Britain, France and elsewhere. Just imagine how powerful these worker actions could be if they included “No more war!” as one of their demands.
To the joy of the military-industrial complex, both parties in the U.S. Congress plan to hand over to $50 billion for additional arms purchases, after the midterm elections, to feed Ukraine’s army next year. Moscow and Kiev have traded threats that their other plans to use nuclear weapons. What can the antiwar movement do to stop a European conflagration, as a world war threatens? As Workers World has shown over the last nine years, the governments and military of the U.S. and its NATO military allies have provoked this war at each step of its escalation. These provocations have won the support of the billionaires and bank- ers, ruling class and its agents. Workers are the forces the NATO governments serve.

The New York Times, which is a sophisticated propaganda tool at the service of the imperialist ruling class, published an article Oct. 22 that, while warning Washington, holds an answer for anti-imperialists. Its title: “Europe Tires of High Costs Linked to War.” In that article, a 69-year-old woman who said she was sick and the price of her position as one she noticinness many friends, said, “All they [Kiev] want are arms, arms, arms. I’m not trained of them.” She wanted negotiations and peace.

The Times article is intended as a warning for those carrying out U.S. policy, which has been to send tens of billions of dollars of arms to Ukraine, to train Kiev’s troops to provide military intelligence. The Times presents some examples of popular resistance to the pro-NATO policies in Italy, Britain, France and Germany, without clarifying the class nature of the growing popular opposition to NATO.

It is not the abstraction, Europe, that is growing weary of sacrifice. Like all societies, the European countries are divided by classes, who have opposing interests. The ruling class in the European NATO countries is opposed to any compromise with the U.S. rulers, have attached their fate to Washington and the Pentagon. These rul- ers have adopted policies that disband the sacrifices on the working class in Europe.

The Times article hints that the working class in Europe, after its first submission to the war propaganda of sacrifice, is likely to be left behind—or rather, out of the war. This war is aimed at Russia to gain hegemony in Eastern Europe. This war is aimed at Russia to gain hegemony in Eastern Europe.

British workers strike

In Britain a rightist, super-neoliberal Prime Minister Liz Truss, whose initial plans were the Tory regime to enrich the rich and bleed the workers, was forced to resign after only six weeks in office. She was forced out by the collapse of the pound and the fear of an ever more militant workers’ struggle.

In an Oct. 13 Workers World arti- cle, Betsy Piette wrote: “British railway workers demanding wage increases to keep pace with decades-high inflation have called strikes at a number of major job actions across that country since this summer. Their strikes brought a near complete shutdown of the rail network, caused delays of up to 12 hours at major postal workers and industrial actions at the country’s largest ports in Liverpool and London.

The British ruling class, in its perpet- ual post-1945 role as junior partner to U.S. imperialism and wedged into Europe, had been laying the way right behind Washington in arming and training the Kievan army against Russia. But the British workers are unwilling to accept new sacrifices, beyond those the British bosses had already imposed.

French workers’ general strike

Some 300,000 workers held a one-day strike action in France on Oct. 18 “address- ing inflation, climate change and pension reform,” meaning pension cuts. (Workers World, Oct. 27) The action was also in solidarity with the refinery workers, who have been on strike and who are threat- ened with being “conscripted” and forced to work. “Workers in France are facing a cost-of-living crisis and soaring inflation; at the same time, energy firms are raking in record profits. This has caused widespread anger and has led to calls for a windfall tax on energy companies.”

What is significant, regarding the war in Ukraine, is that the Pole for Communist Revival in France (PRCF) is urging Marxist organizations to build these workers’ actions—more are planned over the next month—and to link the working-class struggle to the struggle against the war.

“The PRCF, toward which a promising current of adhesion in the youth is tak- ing shape, will do everything possible, so that the general offensive of the working people, associating the defense of social progress, of national independence and of peace, responds in our country to halt the march to continental war wanted by the EU-NATO forces.” (PRCF’s ezine, initiativecommuniste.org, Oct. 20)

German people ‘left in the cold’

German workers have been protest- ing the high inflation exacerbated by a cutoff of Russian-supplied gas, since the U.S. demanded that EU countries impose sanctions on Russia. Explosions on the Nord Stream 1 and 2 pipelines transport- ing natural gas from Russia to Germany— explosions that point to U.S. military sabotage—have made a deal impossi- ble in the short term and virtually forced Germany’s rulers to stop importing gas and energy resources in Eastern Europe.

The People’s Speak-out “Wars!” call issued by the United National Antiwar Coalition actions, Nov. 22).


Boston antiracist rally, one of over 70 nationally coordinated actions, Oct. 15-22.

Boston rally demands U.S./NATO stop war in Ukraine

By Maureen Skehan

Boston rally demands U.S./NATO stop war in Ukraine

Joining the National “NO to U.S. War!” rail issued by the United National Antiwar Coalition, a swiftly formed ad-hoc coalition of anti-imperialist forces rallied Oct. 22. The People’s Speak-out against U.S. imperialism’s dangerous proxy war in Ukraine took place at Park Street station on the Boston Common. This war is aimed at Russia to gain hege- monic control of oil, gas and energy resources in Eastern Europe.

The rally gathered socialists, peace and justice activists, and Cubans, Haitians and Palestine supporters, Veterans for Peace members, prison abolitionists, South Asian activists and labor unionists. Many workers and passersby stopped to listen to the speakers and shared their support for the antirwar messages, which connected the escalating imperialist wars abroad with the deepening economic crisis, budget cutsbacks, layoffs, poverty wages and gentrification in the U.S.

Protesters held signs demanding “Union Contracts, Not Military Contracts!” “Stop the U.S./NATO War! No U.S. Intervention in Haiti, Troops Out Now!” “No Cold War On China!” and “Palestine Will Be Free.”


United as a major socialist state and a counterweight to U.S., European and Japanese imperialism. Even in European countries where communist parties once had strong influence in the working class—Italy, France and Spain—this is no longer the case. This has allowed the bankers and billionaires to impose their imperialist agenda.

One challenge for revolutionary pro-socialists is to assure that some of the neofascist groupings—so-called “populists”—are denied any leadership of protest movements against the central regimes, which are themselves responsi- ble for the imperialist war.

All pro-socialist forces need to take a clear, decisive position against NATO and the U.S.-led war. This must be done in all the NATO countries, where the main ene- mies of the working class of each country are the bosses and billionaires at home, along with their agents in Washington, the European Union and NATO.

In the U.S. it was a good start that in the United National Antiwar Coalition actions over the week of Oct. 15-22, many raised slogans tying resistance to the war to soli- darity with working-class struggles, from strikes to community actions.

While Washington’s strategic planners might consider Russia and China to be the strategic enemies of the U.S., mean- ing the U.S. ruling class—the enemies of the workers and oppressed peoples of the United States are exactly that same U.S. ruling class and its agents.
Referendums in the Donbas to join Russia pass overwhelmingly

By Arjae Red

Four regions that were formerly part of Ukraine — the People’s Republics of Donetsk and Lugansk (collectively known as the Donbas region) and the regions of Zaporizhzhia and Kherson — have voted overwhelmingly in a historic referendum to formally join the Russian Federation.

Two of these regions, the People’s Republics of Donetsk and Lugansk had voted in favor of independence from Ukraine in 2014. At that time non stop shelling from the Kiev coup government was killing thousands.

The shelling followed a U.S./NATO-backed coup dubbed the “Maidan Revolution,” in which neo-Nazi forces played a key role. Ukrainian armed forces have routinely violated the Minsk cease-fire agreements, put in place in 2014 and 2015 — despite the fact that the United Nations Security Council adopted the agreement unanimously in Resolution 2202. For years, the Ukrainian government has not recognized the People’s Republics and has continued its attacks, killing over 14,000 people.

The latest referendums were aimed at choosing not just independence from Ukraine but joining with Russia. Many inhabitants of the regions are ethnically Russian or are Russian-speaking and have faced persecution by the Kiev regime. There are indications that most of the people in these regions are hoping the vote to join Russia will bring an end to the fighting, at least in their hometowns, and that no other options exist that will accomplish this.

The referendum results of those in favor of joining the Russian Federation are as follows: Donetsk: 99.23%; Lugansk: 98.42%; Zaporizhzhia: 93.11%; and Kherson: 87.05%.

Voting took place from Sept. 23 to 27 at designated polling places, as well as mobile door-to-door voting to ensure access to those who could not leave their houses, such as elderly or disabled people or people who did not feel safe leaving, due to continued shelling from Ukrainian forces.

Independent journalist Patrick Lancaster interviewed Albina Afenchenko, chairperson of polling station #11 in Donetsk about the voting process. “Every resident in the Donetsk People’s Republic has the right to vote. Even people from other districts can vote here, in the Kalinin district. We all know that it’s not safe in frontline villages. People are afraid to live there, so they live here. That’s why people can show their passports where their places of residence are indicated.”

Afenchenko explains that voters must submit an application and can be held criminally responsible for voting more than once. Voting was accessible to all residents, regardless of whether they held a Russian, Ukrainian or Donetsk passport.

The U.S. and its allies have predictably been slamming the referendums as “staged,” claiming that Russian soldiers were intimidating citizens who were in favor of remaining a part of Ukraine from voting. Many people find accusations of election fraud and voter intimidation from the Ukrainian government ironic, since its military continued to bomb civilian areas of voting regions while the vote was taking place.

The U.S. corporate media has characterized the referendums as a sham. But Washington and the U.S. media have never been the authority on free and fair elections. There was no referendum for residents of Mexico to join the U.S. after roughly half the country was annexed through military conquest in 1848. There were no referendums for Indigenous peoples who were torn away from their lands, which the U.S. government claimed as its own in the process of ongoing genocide spanning from 1492 until today.

Referendum’s military significance

Once the referenda are ratified and the regions become accepted as official territory of the Russian Federation, then the Russian armed forces have an obligation to defend this territory the same as they would defend any other part of Russia. At that point, Ukrainian attacks on the people of these regions will be treated as attacks on Russia itself; this could mean a full-blown war. This might deter more Ukrainian attacks, which explains why so many residents looked forward to the vote for many years and are now celebrating.

The danger remains that this could escalate to a potentially nuclear level, if the Ukrainian government and NATO choose not to respect the vote and to continue to shell the regions or attempt to seize them.

As a desperate response to the referenda, Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelenskyy submitted an expedited application to join NATO. The application was rejected, as Ukraine’s bids to join NATO have been in the past. NATO Secretary General Jens Stoltenberg said, “NATO is not a party to the conflict, but it provides support to Ukraine so it can uphold its right for self-defense.” Stoltenberg’s approach was shared by U.S. House Speaker Nancy Pelosi.

Rather than hurl their own countries into a war against Russia, the U.S. and NATO continue to designate Ukraine as an “enhanced opportunity partner” — a springboard from which NATO can funnel weapons against Russia and a human shield NATO can hide behind.

Despite the Biden administration’s rhetoric about defending a “free and democratic” Ukraine, the U.S. and NATO have never treated Ukraine as an equal sovereign country but as a pawn. They can use Ukraine’s army as a proxy force for NATO wars enforcing U.S. imperial hegemony, even at the cost of tens of thousands of dead Ukrainians.

This is why the war against Russia continues to churn on, despite massive casualties and no significant sustained gains for Ukrainian armed forces. While U.S. weapons manufacturers make a killing (literally) in profits, Zelensky is in the process of selling off Ukraine’s economy to private corporations, slashing labor protections, as well as banning trade unions and the right to collective bargaining in companies with less than 250 employees.

These events show that only one way for Ukraine to be truly free and independent is to break ties with NATO and negotiate for a peaceful resolution with Russia. Until then, the only path available to Ukrainians is to trudge through perpetual war, condemned to fight and die in service of U.S. imperialism.
Bolsonaro in Brazil

A tough fascist nut to crack

By Sergio Ortiz

Ortiz, an Argentine Marxist-Leninist and a leading member of the Party of Labor (Proletarian Revolutionary Initiative) in Argentina, analyzed the details of Brazil’s national elections Oct. 8, 2022, on his website. Translation: John Catalinotto

The Oct. 2 first round of Brazil’s elections had a rare mix of positive and worrisome aspects, which together managed to leave a trace of bitterness in the celebrations of the PT [The Workers’ Party, or Partido dos Trabalhadores] and its 10-party coalition. The PT’s [Lula in Paulo da Silva] Lula’s victory, with his goal to return to the Planalto Palace for four years. He obtained 48.57% of the votes (57.3 million votes) against 43.35% (51.1 million votes) of the incumbent Liberal President, an advantage of 6.2 million votes.

The bitter taste for the winners arose because they had insufficient votes to win in the first round, needing 50% of the valid votes plus one. And they did not reach that goal, despite the fact that many of the 50 pre-election opinion polls agreed that any of them would be unseated at the end of the line.

This new rejection of the pollsters also entered into the political balance, regarding the reasons for such mistakes. That the people are activist, that they do not vote for the candidates who vote for them. That they change at the last minute. That the studies are made on social networks and not proportional to the electorate.

That these companies seek the approval of those who pay for their jobs, etc. Anyways, the polls in Brazil were a total fiasco, in coincidence with Argentine realities. The crises of the bourgeois democracies of dependent capitalism also have this repeated characteristic of erratic polls.

The Petista disappointment—and that of left-wing and progressive sympathizers in Latin America—had not only to do with the frustrated expectation of seeing the former metallurgist president on the front page of the newspapers until the second round 28 days later. There were other negatives, such as the growth of Jair Bolsonaro’s vote. In numbers he has already overtaken Lula, not when he was elected president in 2018.

Bolsonaro now won in Brasilia (the capital), São Paulo, and three of the 27 states, São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro. His candidates won the governorships of most of the 27 states, in some cases in the first round, and in others, such as São Paulo, they are favorites for the runoff. Their caucuses in the Chamber of Deputies and the Senate will be the largest, with the worst predictions that the Lula coalition could not win like Bolsonaro’s reformism and the military core (in addition to relying on the armed forces, he incorporated more than 6,000 military personnel in government functions).

The opposition’s timidity left, for many, the possibility of a new electoral strength, and the Auxílio Brasil plan, which provides social aid of US$120 to 20 million very poor families. Obviously this state bonus increased his votes in the first round and proved them in the runoff, but not so much to discount the difficulty of the 27% of the votes in the first round and the 7% that Tebet and Gomes could bring to Lula.

Among the few hopes for the neo-Nazi’s understood in Brazil as the programmatic political project, as did the U.S. neo-Nazis who denounced Joe Biden’s victory as a fraud.

Should Bolsonaro be defeated, we can expect anything. As his political center is Donald Trump, anything includes an attempt to take over the Capitol, as did the U.S. neo-Nazis who denounced Joe Biden’s victory as a fraud.

By choosing Gerardo Alckimin, a right-wing presidential candidate, Lula copied himself and [Argentina’s] Cristina Fernandez de Kirchner. Himself, because he previously put as his vice-president José Alencar (Liberal Party) and encouraged Rousseff to do the same with Michel Tener (MDB), who ended up staging the parliamentary coup d’état in 2016 and opened the way to Bolsonarism two years later. And Cristina Fernandez, who did something similar in Argentina, the time of Macri, opened the doors to the electorate and to the real power of dependent capitalism.

Lula’s populism combined social policies, which lifted 30 to 40 million people out of poverty, with economic policies favorable to the monopolistic interests of São Paulo’s business and bankers like Henrique Meirelles, owner of private banks and head of the Central Bank during most of Lula’s government, later Finance Minister of the pro-coup Temer.

From that very politically limited pro-growth government, to the current one, with a more center-right proposal. Proof? We have already indicated that his campaign preaching was less than light in the face of an enemy that was lying in wait for the burst. He did not make important programmatic promises to fight poverty, for example, to the richest and bankers more.

On the contrary, he has sent in his words to Brazil’s business leaders, seeking to reassure them that he not only will not be his that new presidential government, they have nothing to fear. On the other hand, he has met with envoys of the Brazilian Chamber of Deputies and Sept. 21 he met with Douglas Konnek, the running mate of the current U.S. in charge of the U.S. embassy in Brazil.

It is well-known that Lula would not inherit the daunting country that the Frente deodos inherited in [Argentina]. It is said that its national car has reached US$360 million. Its annual inflation will be less than 10%. The GDP has been growing, albeit modestly, but with the same trend, there was no inequality as always: a lot of poverty and 33 million citizens who do not eat well, with Indigenous peoples subjugated and the Amazon forest cleared.

Brazil, like Argentina, is a country with a popular, democratic and anti-imperialist solution. There was a neoliberalism with Macri, and then neo-Nazism with Bolsonaro. It is obvious that Fernandez de Kirchner is not the same as the CEO of the PRO [Macri], nor is Lula the same as the CEO of the PT [Lula]. But with the limits and cowardice of those fronts, which were once progressive and retain, the right-wing, one with a business face and the other with a military face.  

The voting is Oct. 28, but the real struggle involves the Brazilian state and the mass movement. The voting is Oct. 28, but the real struggle involves the Brazilian state and the mass movement. The voting is Oct. 28, but the real struggle involves the Brazilian state and the mass movement.
Defend the right of prisoners to vote!

With the midterm elections less than two weeks away, there have been at least 20 cases of people arrested and charged with voter fraud — really, voting while Black in the state of Florida. The allegations stem from the 2020 elections. All those arrested had previously incarcerated for murder or sex offenses and are currently on parole.

Florida’s governor is the notorious Ron DeSantis, an ultra-right-wing bigot, who is openly racist, anti-trans, anti-communist and anti-worker. And Florida has the highest number of former “felons” per capita of any state.

A state constitutional amendment, approved by voters in 2018, restored voting rights to many former prisoners, but others were left out, leaving many uncertain or misinformed about their eligibility to vote. The amendment excludes people convicted of murder or felony sex offenses and requires them to apply separately to have their voting rights reinstated. Florida’s attorney general, Andrew Butterfield, explained that to apply separately: “The amendment excludes people convicted of murder or felony sex offenses and requires them to apply separately to have their voting rights reinstated.”

Robert Lee Wood, who is Jamaican and one of the 20 arrested, had his voter registration restored after a 6 a.m. raid, his lawyer, Larry Davis, explained how his client was treated: “The house was surrounded with police that had automatic weapons. They wouldn’t even let him get dressed, and they took him to jail.”

Haitians say down with U.N. intervention.

When the ensuing regimes failed to stabilize Haiti, the U.S. intervened again in 1994, its troops replaced by United Nations forces in 1995. Aristide was allowed to return under U.S. tutelage. Although his party, Fanmi Lavalas, won seats in parliament, Aristide was kept out of office until he won another election for president in 2000.

When Aristide was unwilling and unable to serve Washington and Wall Street’s interests, a U.S. Special Forces unit —, who were allegedly bodyguards for Aristide — seized the Haitian president Feb. 29, 2004, and flew him into forced exile in the Central African Republic.

There followed a succession of U.S. puppet governments, propped up by a U.N. intervention force, which after 2010 managed to introduce cholera while augmenting Haiti’s police. Meanwhile a succession of destructive hurricanes and floods and a devastating earthquake in 2020 further destabilized Haitian society. Despite Washington’s role in creating turmoil in Haiti, the U.S. has refused permanent political asylum to Haiti’s people, who feel that turmoil.

Workers World supports self-determination for Haiti. It is up to the people of Haiti to determine who runs their country and how they run it. U.S. military intervention may try to protect U.S.-owned property, but it has never brought Haiti prosperity or even stability. U.S. domination is the opposite of self-determination. The U.S. ruling class — along with the French ruling class — owes Haiti reparations for over two centuries of crimes. And they should be made to pay those reparations.

Workers World joins the many Haitian popular organizations who are saying Washington needs to keep its hands off Haiti and get its troops out NOW!
Ultra-right wingers are once again trying to gain political power in the U.S. on the backs of queer and oppressed people. In Nevada, a bill run for a recent term—neofascist Florida Gov. Ron DeSantis—signed the infamous “Don’t Say Gay” bill to prohibit discussion of sexual orientation or gender identity in public schools. (“Protest at the Pier,” Punks World, October 16).

Now 33 right-wing Republican senators have introduced a Congressional measure on Oct. 18 to prohibit any federal money from being used to teach children under 13 about LGBTQ2S+ issues. The definition of “sexually oriented material” includes “any topic involving gender identity, gender dysphoria, transgenderism, sexual orientation or related subjects.”

Note that prohibited sexual topics are those which deviate from narrow heterosexual, male-dominated, conservative Christian beliefs. What kind of gender and what kind of sexuality is “normal” or “abnormal.” Note that proposed law flies in the face of both scientific and historical evidence of the natural diversity and natural range of human sexuality and gender.

And this bill conveniently ignores the sexual abuses committed by heterosexual law enforcement officers—from former President Donald Trump to Southern Baptist ministers. Not to mention the fact that child sexual abuse and rape is typically committed by male relatives or friends within the traditional heterosexual family structure. Or that the most frequent cause of death for pregnant women is overwhelming violence at the hands of their (almost always) male current or former partners.

The queerbaiting tactic is familiar and old: Point at LGBTQ2S+ people as the danger to children; rack up votes—notes on school ratings—under the serious threats to children.

Those of us in LGBTQ2S+ communities have lived through vicious targeting before—many times. When we dare to live openly in our gender and sexuality, we are condemned as “unnatural” and “punished” —as this writer was in 1976, when the state of North Carolina took away my children because I openly claimed my life as a lesbian.

Modern-day witch hunt

Many have heard of the infamous anti-gay 1977 “Save Our Children” campaign, fronted by ex-Miss America competitor and now Florida orange growers and big business. But Florida also had the 1956 Johns Committee, dedicated to rooting out queer and civil rights activists. This legislative inquisition questioned hundreds of people and investigated “sexual abuses committed by heterosexual” law enforcement officers.

Today the challenge is to continue to organize on a mass level to defeat this new neofascist scapegoating “Save the Children” campaign. We can win a chance for all people, including children, to have access to the facts and glory of our LGBTQ2S+ lives—and to the knowledge that queer life is one path among many that can be taken toward the future.

### WW COMMENTARY

**Organize and ‘Say Yes!’ to LGBTQ2S+ lives**

By Minnie Bruce Pratt

La reciente visita provocativa de Nancy Pelosi y otros políticos estadounidenses a Taiwán forma parte de todas las guerras de chips. A mediados de septiembre el Senado estatounidense aprobó un proyecto de ley que prevé 6,5 mil millones de dólares en ayuda militar directa a Taiwán. Washington está aumentando la presión contra China en varios frentes.

¿Posibilidades de éxito?

Los chips son el principal motor de la electrónica. China representa ahora un 12% de la producción mundial, lo que es absolutamente insuficiente para las necesidades propias. Solo una sexta parte de lo que necesita en chips se produce en el país. Además, por el momento, es capaz de producir chips de última generación.

En otras palabras, el país depende en gran medida de importaciones de chips. Anualmente representa alrededor de 400 mil millones de dólares. Si ese suministro se ve en peligro, la agricultura solo una pérdida económica muy grande, sino que también perjudicaría gravemente el avance tecnológico. En este sentido, los chips representan el talón de Aquiles de la industria china.

Para superar esta dependencia y ponerse al día tecnológicamente China está invirtiendo más que cualquier otro país en esta industria estratégica. El país ya ha hecho grandes progresos en varios ámbitos. Por ejemplo, ha producido con éxito un chip de 7 nanómetros (2), lo que sitúa a China solo una o dos generaciones por detrás de los líderes del sector en Taiwán y Corea del Sur.

A pesar de estos avances, el momento China sigue dependiendo de las importaciones de otros países (4). No tiene por qué seguir siendo así. Analiza Mason, una empresa consultora de primera línea, afirmó en un informe reciente que China podría ser autosuficiente en chips en un futuro cercano.

En cualquier caso, la estrategia restrictiva de Estados Unidos motivará a la industria china a destinar aún más recursos y a realizar avances. Asia Times (3 de octubre) pone el ejemplo del bloqueo en 2015 del suministro de procesadores Xeon Prime de ada de Intel a los fabricantes de superordenadores chinos. Un año después los investigadores chinos desarrollaron su propio procesador.
'Un juego de estafa política'

Durante el fin de semana del 7 al 9 de octubre, las manifestaciones en favor de la justicia reproductiva, se cimentaron como "votemos a fuera" y "¡Roe, Roe, Roe tu voto!". Estos cánticos fueron dirigidos por los organizadores, la Marcha de las Mujeres que intentaban canalizar la energía de los jóvenes activistas para trabajar en la elección de los candidatos del Partido Demócrata.

Como es lógico, millones de mujeres, personas con problemas de género y aliados participaron en las elecciones del 8 de noviembre con el objetivo de derrotar a los políticos de derechos, misóginos y fascistas. En algunos estados hay referendos sobre la consagración o no del derecho al aborto en la legislación estatal. Muchas personas, recién registradas, votarán por primera vez.

Parece suficiente una estrategia puramente electoral para restablecer y asegurar el derecho al aborto en la legislación estatal. Muchas personas, recién registradas, votarán por primera vez.

¿Por qué Biden libra una auténtica guerra de chips contra China?
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