

U.S. Props Up Latin Dictatorships By Sending Gunboats To Caribbean

Talks in Washington

Adenauer Reports to HQ

Now that the Berlin situation seems to have simmered down a little—at least for the moment—it is a good time for working class groups in this country to take another look at the relations between Washington and Bonn. This is especially important in the light of Adenauer's visit to this country which will probably be over by the time this is in print.

Much has been written on how tough he is in relation to an agreement with the Soviet Union and the German Democratic Republic, and how difficult it is for the Kennedy administration to even make a suggestion to him.

In the heavy fog that was generated by the imperialist press in the last two months over the Berlin issue, the real relations between Washington and Bonn have been deliberately distorted by the *Big Business* press in both capitals. To understand this distortion, it is important to review some fundamental facts of the not so recent history.

The Bonn government is the creature of U. S. financial capital. The foundations for the Bonn government were laid a long time ago in the Pentagon, with the hearty approval of the State Department and the White House. And all this happened *before* the World War (II) was over.

German finance capital was revitalized, its industrial base rebuilt, and its military forces re-equipped and modernized by the U.S. without a single solitary important political figure opposing it. Britain too, collaborated in this venture, but only as a junior partner. And France, to a limited extent, has contributed its proportionate share.

During all this time, the U.S. has at all times had the decisive say in the rearmament and reorientation of Bonn's foreign and military policy.

While the Bonn government is a creature of U. S. finance capital, it nevertheless is a strong imperialist government, with a certain amount of

(Continued on Page 4)

Ships and Marines Go to Dominican Republic In Move to Terrorize Latin American Peoples

Under cover of protecting the "democracy" of the Balaguer regime in the Dominican Republic—a democracy which emphatically *does not exist*—a task force of U.S. battle ships including an aircraft carrier with 1,800 Marines aboard, steamed up to the three-mile limit.

The immediate reason for this aggressive move was the return of two Trujillo brothers (Gen. Arismendi Trujillo and Gen. Hector Bienvenido Trujillo) to the Dominican Republic after having left there last month. They had left in an attempt to whitewash their stooge Balaguer government as a non-Trujillo, "democratic" regime.

Earlier, the *New York Times* had said their return was a violation of a "gentlemen's agreement" between the Trujillo brothers, the Balaguer regime and the *United States* government.

U.S. Big Business diplomacy has been conspiring to take the sting out of the Dominican opposition parties by arranging a *coalition* between them and the Balaguer regime.

Last week, Dr. Manuel Tavarez, president of the largest opposition party, *The 14th of June Movement*, was in New York, and told this reporter he would not join a coalition government at this time because it would be "treason against the Dominican people."

When asked under what conditions he might join a coalition, he answered:

"The only conditions under which coalition would be possible would be if all the Trujillos leave the island altogether, give up their connections with the army and give back their economic holdings to the Dominican people."

But the battleships are going to "continue protecting President Balaguer," says the *Times*, until "he has been able to form a temporary government with the participation of the democratic opposition parties. . . . *Dangerous events could occur* if President Balaguer is unable to form a coalition within the next 48 hours."

In other words, the U.S. is trying to force a coalition—with the aid of battleships and Marines.

But the "dangerous events" that are occurring all through Latin America (especially in Cuba!) will not be stopped by gunboat diplomacy. The revolution will triumph.

LOADED GUNS AND EMPTY STOMACHS



In Congo—a Swedish UN soldier stands guard over 30,000 Baluba refugees forced by the UN to live in concentration camp in horrible conditions. (See back page for Congo story.)

Imperialist "Alliance for Progress" Won't Work

All Latin America Boiling With Revolt

Crisis after crisis arises in Latin America. Argentina, Bolivia, Chile, Ecuador, Venezuela and Brazil have been seized with convulsions in the recent past. They cannot stabilize their semi-colonialist economies, dominated as they are by U.S. imperialism and dependent as they are upon a foreign trade that rivets their colonial chains ever more securely to the past.

The general strikes in Argentina, the copper strikes in Chile, the presidential succession crises in Brazil and Ecuador, were all manifestations of this fundamental problem.

The prices of the raw materials produced by these countries have either remained stationary or gone down. (Bolivian tin is 'high' at the moment, to be sure.) But the prices of the manu-

factured goods—which they mostly buy from abroad—have gone steadily upward. United Nations experts once estimated the dependent countries had to pay \$2.5 to \$3 billion more for their imports of manufactured goods in 1947 than they would have had to pay if price ratios were the same as in 1913.

Not all the promised billions of the "Alliance for Progress" can alter this economic fact. This fact is an expression of the laws of the capitalist system fully as much as the fall of an apple to the ground is an expression of the law of gravity.

It is this economic fact that determines, for example, that a baby in Ecuador has just one-fourth the chance of living to see its first birthday that a baby in the United States has. It is

not the fallout from Soviet bomb tests which kills 115 infants per 1,000 in Ecuador, but the robbery of these children's food (more than half of Ecuador's exports are fruits) by United States corporations.

Both in Ecuador and Bolivia, the "riots" were touched off by the government's attempt to solve its budget deficit by imposing higher taxes upon the suffering masses. (In Ecuador, this hit the wealthier interests, too, and that is why many wealthy groups blocked with Castroites and Communists behind new president, Arosemena.)

The reason for the government crackdowns which brought about the crises was the policy of the United States government, representing as it

(Continued on Page 2)

BULLETIN

North Carolina Uses High Pressure To Re-arrest Mae Mallory

The white-supremacist authorities of North Carolina have resorted to high pressure tactics in their desperate attempt to bring Mae Mallory back to Jim-crow North Carolina justice.

This was revealed today when it was announced that the Governor of North Carolina, Terry Sanford, in a most unusual legal move, issued a special request directed to the Governor of Ohio, Michael Di Salle, to send this innocent victim of a kidnap frameup charge, back to North Carolina.

However, the Ohio Governor set an executive hearing for December 5th, which was contained in a telegram to

(Continued on Page 2)

WORKERS WORLD

Founded March, 1959

Editorial office:

46 W. 21st Street, New York, N.Y.

Telephone: AL 5-0352

Editor: Vincent Copeland

Manager: Dorothy Ballan



Vol. 3, No. 22 — November 24, 1961

Published Twice Monthly

The Communist Party: Victim of Imperialist 'Democracy'

Two weeks ago, the Supreme Court decided that the openly anti-democracy, anti-Semitic, white-supremacist, self-styled "Nazi" leader, Lincoln Rockwell, has the right to free speech in Union Square and the rest of the United States.

Last week, however, also by Supreme Court decision, the Communist Party became illegal, and its leaders subject to inhuman prison penalties and outrageous fines. Needless to say, they cannot speak in Union Square.

Jail for Communists; free speech for fascists. That is the essence of "democracy" in the capitalist United States today. Freedom for the torturers of Black people, the oppressors of the colonies and the enemies of progress. Prison for those who try to defend the working class.

This is the system we are being told we should be willing to sacrifice 60 to 90 million lives to defend.

Black Muslims Hit, Too

On November 7, only two weeks before the Supreme Court made it a crime to belong to the Communist Party, an all-white jury in Monroe, Louisiana, convicted local Black Muslim leader, Troy B. Cade, of "criminal anarchy." And the white judge refused to free him on bond, pending on appeal.

He was accused of "teaching the violent overthrow of both federal and state governments." Evidence to "prove" this included a sign-board (taken from the Muslim temple) displaying the U.S. flag over the words, "Slavery, Hell, Death" and a drawing of a Black man hanging from a tree.

Of course, the sign could have been labeled "Freedom, Heaven on Earth, and Everlasting Life," with the Afro-Ameri-

can pictured sitting in a big white mansion being served mint juleps by a good old white-haired Kentucky colonel. But it is problematic whether the jury would have been any more lenient in that case, either.

The fact is that it's a crime to tell the truth when the truth convicts the government itself of representing capitalist white supremacy. The fact is that Black men do hang from trees in the United States and the United States government has never punished those who hang them.

The Black Muslims only tried, in this case, to describe what the U.S. flag has meant to the Black Man. The judge and jury of Monroe, Louisiana have only proved that their description was basically correct.

Sing Sing Sitdown

At Sing Sing Prison last week, about 1,500 out of 1,800 prisoners participated in a well organized, highly disciplined sitdown strike, and kept it going for several days.

Such an action, conducted under obviously oppressive circumstances, with the terrible risk of lengthened sentences and

harsh reprisals could only have been provoked by enormously deep and valid grievances.

The real issue is—Who really are the criminals; the unfortunate convicts, or their tormentors in the vast repressive apparatus mislabeled as "government of the people."

USSR-Finland Talks

Nothing so much points up the gravity of the world crisis as the recent events in Finland.

The imperialist press would have us believe that the USSR's call for consultations on mutual defense between Finland and the USSR stems from "Moscow's plan for world conquest."

But what the imperialist press has carefully hidden from the public view are certain of their own consultations which are of a truly aggressive character and endanger the security of the USSR.

These other consultations we refer to have been reported in the American press, but in tiny, innocuous news items. These news items began to flow more than three months ago, when it was announced from NATO headquarters, that the Bonn government would coordinate its military maneuvers with Norway, and tie them in with Denmark.

It should be noted that these maneuvers are scheduled to take place in the Baltic area, literally a stone's throw

from the borders of the USSR. This would be similar to the Warsaw Pact powers carrying out their training exercises off the Florida coast or Long Island Sound.

Furthermore, what the imperialist press fails to explain is that one never knows whether these military maneuvers are mere training exercises, or the "real thing." An example in recent history where an actual military plan for conquest was masked as a mere training exercise was the infamous Mediterranean naval maneuver conducted by the British Navy, just prior to the Suez invasion.

The projected Baltic maneuvers by NATO could easily have the same character.

The Finnish Government promised in 1948 to jointly consult with the USSR in case of such an eventuality. The USSR would be blind to its defense were it not to consider the provisions of the 1948 treaty in the current crisis so as to effectuate a mutual defense against NATO aggression.

—Mae Mallory

(Continued from Page 1)

Attorney Berkman from the Governor's Assistant David J. Purkey.

Mrs. Mae Mallory is due for a court hearing on November 22nd. The special request made by the Governor of North Carolina was calculated to supersede this hearing and avoid a continuance of the case, which the attorney for Mrs. Mallory is expected to request.

Mrs. Mallory's attorney needs the additional time in order to adequately prepare the case for her defense, by producing evidence that she cannot obtain a fair trial in jimcrow Union County, North Carolina.

Mrs. Mae Mallory is now free on bail raised by the Monroe Defense Committee. She was arrested in Cleveland by the FBI on "a warrant for unlawful

flight to avoid prosecution, after a Monroe, N. C. Superior Court Grand Jury indicated her on a charge of kidnapping."

Actually, Mrs. Mallory and Robert Williams fled Monroe, N. C. when it became clear that their very lives were in danger from legal authorities acting in cahoots with the Ku Klux Klan.

They were forced to flee after an attack by armed white thugs against a peaceful picket line protesting a segregated swimming pool; this attack was actually part of a large scale plot by racist authorities to smash the militant resistance of the Black people of Monroe.

For more detailed information on the Monroe story, contact Monroe Defense Committees, 53 W. 125th St., N. Y. C., LE 4-8411.

Puerto Ricans Picket

Morales Carrion—"Traitor of the Year"

By Pedro Sanchez

New York, Nov. 19—Over 150 Puerto Rico independence fighters and their friends picketed the Columbia University auditorium today because of the Puerto Rican Institute's meeting there to give Morales Carrion the honor of being chosen Puerto Rican "Man of the Year."

Carrion, formerly a U.S. puppet in Puerto Rico, now is an Assistant Secretary of State for Latin American Affairs. According to a spokesman of the picket line, Carrion is trying to apply the Puerto Rican pattern for U.S. domination to the whole of Latin America.

One of the signs carried by a picket referred directly to the ceremonies honoring Carrion and said: "Mr. Carrion, Traitor of the Year."

Other signs said:

"Latin Americans: Beware of Dogs Like Morales Carrion"; "Puerto Rico: Showcase of Democratic Imperialism";

"Puerto Rico: Laboratory of Traitors."

The pickets sang and shouted both in Spanish and English. They attracted the attention of hundreds of Columbia University students and others in the neighborhood who hung around the line to read the signs and ask questions about the purpose of the demonstration.

After two hours, the line broke up in good order with a final shout for both Puerto Rican independence and the Cuban revolution.

—All Latin America

(Continued from Page 1)

does, the interests of big U.S. corporations.

The great "liberal" Under Secretary of State, Chester Bowles had just "warned" that Congress might still keep the purse strings of the "Alliance for Progress" well closed unless the Latin American governments started "reforms."

Now these "reforms" had little to do with the land reforms, the big break-up of feudal estates that the "liberal" press here has been talking about. Bowles was speaking of reforms in government financing, debt management, capitalist stability, a climate of "confidence for investors" and other really "liberal" things like that.

Ecuador and Bolivia could not possibly carry out such reforms without a fundamental relaxation of U.S. imperialist control of their economies. Nor can the other countries either. Otherwise, the "reforms" would only mean increased suffering for the masses.

There might be somewhat of an improvement in the living standards of the masses if there could be constantly increasing investments by individual U.S. corporations, since in this case, there would at least be some "prosperity" while the Yankees were spending money in construction, etc.

But U.S. capitalists are definitely not increasing their investments in Latin America, Alliance for Progress or no Alliance for Progress.

You can be sure they are not waiting for feudalism to be broken up and you can be sure they are not waiting for the working class to get stronger before investing there. On the contrary, they want the Latin American working class smashed.

It's Crystal Clear



From El Imparcial

Puerto Rican people, told they are entitled to the blessings of U.S. citizenship, are being deported back to Puerto Rico if unable to get employment.

SUBSCRIBE NOW!

A 6 mo. sub to WORKERS WORLD for only \$1.00 — 1 yr. for \$2.00.

Fill in coupon and mail to:

Workers World, 46 W. 21st St., N.Y. 10, N.Y.

NAME

STREET

CITY.....ZONE.....STATE.....

What Is the Truth About Soviet Testing?

The Fallout of Lies Is Worse than Nuclear Radiation!

By V. Grey

The American public has been fed lie after lie about the Soviet testing of nuclear weapons.

Lie No. 1

That the fallout from the Soviet bomb explosions was worse than anything that had ever come out of U.S. test explosions (Hiroshima doesn't count!) due to the fact that the last two bombs were so much bigger than any bomb exploded before.

The TRUTH

"In micro-microcuries—the highest level ever recorded in a U.S. city, was 1,800, over Salt Lake City on July 16, 1957. The record from Soviet tests to date is 709 micro-microcuries on September 20, 1961.

"A former Atomic Energy Commission official said this in New York recently:

"We dumped more radioactive fallout on St. George, Utah, and other towns around there when we were testing bombs in Nevada, than is likely to result from all the Soviet testing. But nobody got particularly excited about our testing." (Our emphasis.) —U.S. News and World Report.

* * * * *

Lie No. 2

That the Soviet Union exploded its 50-megaton bomb for no good military or scientific reason, but only to terrify the masses of the world, to threaten nuclear war, to indulge in nuclear blackmail, and to put on as horrible and frightening an appearance as possible.

The TRUTH

On November 5, only a week after the big blast, the New York Times, quickest to cool down and survey the position of capitalism, observed:

"Even the 50-megaton test, which the United States publicly stated served no 'legitimate purpose,' could meet a very definite military requirement on the part of Soviet missile forces."

Eight days later, George Sokolsky, one of the champion anti-Soviet war-horses of the Hearst newspaper stable, said in the mass-circulation New York Journal-American:

"The Russian effort is undoubtedly designed to develop anti-missile bombs and to develop nuclear warheads for long-range rockets, which could damage our missile sites. . . . But they are apparently working on anti-missile defense bombs. . . ." (Our emphasis.)

* * * * *

Lie No. 3

That the U.S. underground explosions had as their main aim to protect humanity from dangerous fallout in the atmosphere resulting from open-air tests.

The TRUTH

The U.S. underground tests, which are supposed to be only in the "modest" kiloton range (like the Hiroshima bomb) instead of the gigantic Soviet megaton range—are related to the most deadly weapon known or even dreamed of in the fantasies of science-fiction—the death ray. (This is the Neutron Bomb, the ideal weapon of capitalism. It destroys people and preserves property!)

This was inadvertently revealed on November 2 by the New York Herald Tribune, which apparently was attempting to reassure its Wall Street readers that the U.S. was "ahead" and they shouldn't worry too much about the mass incineration the Trib had so vividly portrayed during the previous weeks.

"The great amount of work done in the laboratories on the N Bomb has, in a manner of speaking, been

put on the shelf. It has developed to the point where no further progress is possible until theories have been tested through explosions. This is the phase which has just begun, with tentative tests tied in with other nuclear tests in the Nevada series begun September 15.

"The first N Bomb tests are aimed at finding out how strong the neutron radiation would be and how long it would last. Some of this can be checked out underground, but

fallout is determined by the height at which a bomb is exploded and how much soil or water is scooped up into the fireball. The 1957-58 experiments had very little to do with the problem of "cleaning-up" fallout, but had very much to do with the task of making the bomb more deadly.

The reactionary U.S. News and World Report, in explaining on November 20, why Soviet rockets were bigger (and why this wasn't really so bad) had to show something else too:

These Africans Want FRANCE to Stop Testing in Their Land



The United States delegation to the UN refused to vote against atomic tests being conducted in Africa by French imperialism.

That is the way you can sum up the November 14 UN vote on nuclear test in Africa.

The vote was actually 57-0 in favor of "calling on states to refrain from carrying out nuclear tests in Africa and from using the territory, territorial waters or air space in testing, storing and transporting nuclear weapons."

But the United States and Britain

abstained from the voting in order to placate their ally, France, who also abstained. (The French have already exploded four nuclear bombs—all in Africa.)

According to John F. Kennedy, the Soviet Union showed "complete disregard for the welfare of mankind" when it resumed nuclear testing on September 1.

Apparently French imperialism's disregard for the welfare of Africans does not stir Mr. Kennedy to any eloquence about the "welfare of mankind."

much of it can be determined only in the atmosphere." (Our emphasis.)

The eager-beaver editors of the Herald Tribune did not return to this theme in the following days, after they blurted it out—possibly because Washington shushed them up.

But the fact that the U.S. is even thought to be testing for such a super-death weapon as the N Bomb is hardly an indication that the Big Brass is concerned with protecting the American working class from fallout sickness!

* * * * *

Lie No. 4

That the "clean" bomb perfected by the United States has less fallout than the "dirty" bombs of the Soviet Union, and the implication that this too, is better for everybody's health.

The TRUTH

The 1957-58 U.S. tests for a "clean" bomb were actually tests for a more efficient and deadly bomb. "More bang for a buck" was the facetious phrase of some Madison Avenue public relations man for the military. (What he really meant was "more deaths for a dollar"!)

From recent explanations in the press it is clear that the actual amount of

"The U.S. had 'refined' its nuclear warheads into smaller, more effective weapons. With smaller warheads, this country had no need for rockets of great power to deliver those warheads on Soviet Russia." And it added disdainfully:

"Russia, lacking modern refined warheads for nuclear weapons, required a rocket of immense size to launch her big, antiquated missile warheads."

Note that the U.S. News is talking about the "antiquated warheads" of the Soviet Union nearly three weeks after the explosion of the 50-megaton bomb which, according to the daily press at the time, was practically the end of the world—at the very least, the death of 90 million people in the United States!

* * * * *

Lie No. 5

That the Soviet Union is getting ready to attack the United States and that it has an internal drive to war by the nature of its social system. This is the BIG lie.

The TRUTH

The Soviet Union deeply needs peace. And this is not merely because its people

have the best reason of any people in the world to be against war. (Over 20 million Soviet citizens were killed in World War II!) It is because the economic system of the Soviet Union suffers from an arms economy right now, whereas the capitalist economic system of the United States prospers from an arms economy—and requires constant fresh additions of arms expenditures injected into its ever-hardening arteries to keep it alive.

The capitalists and their military servants in the big brass understand this very well. And they have been openly preparing for war and threatening war for many years.

Life magazine on November 10 devoted most of its issue to the subject of "What We Must Do to Defeat Communism." One of the chapter headings of this was called "Disadvantages of the Doctrine that the Enemy Must Strike First."

(Of course the "doctrine" of having the enemy strike first was fine and dandy when it was a means of getting the whole population steamed up for war. But today, weapons are so much deadlier and everybody knows that the best defense is an offense, so let's get that war going. The "doctrine" of letting the enemy strike first is out of date!)

"This doctrine has a long and noble history," admitted the editors, "and we may be permanently stuck with it. (!) Yet it is neither prudent nor unequivocally moral. Even on the score of using H Bombs, a decision which will always be inhibited by both prudence and morality, it is obviously better strategy to leave some doubt . . ."

From here the writers take a breath and leap to "the moral necessity of invading a torture chamber . . ." —(Not, dear reader, referring to the State of Mississippi, but to the country of the Soviets!)

But the Soviets did not have to wait until Life came out in November to understand the attitude of U.S. Big Business toward the USSR.

The Soviet Union has been on the defensive ever since it was founded in 1917. When there weren't even enough hand-rifles available to arm all the Soviet soldiers, the imperialist powers, including the United States, tried to destroy the new workers republic with the force of arms.

Today the U.S. has 200 overseas bases from which 1,500 planes with nuclear bombs are poised at all times to attack the Soviet Union. This program was begun before the Soviet Union had the atomic bomb.

The U.S. also has 90 foreign bases from which medium-range ballistic missiles are zeroed in against the Soviet Union. This program was begun before the Soviet Union got the inter-continental long-range missile.

Only now can the Soviet Union be said to have military parity with the United States by virtue of its long range rocket missiles. And by a number of recent admissions in the capitalist press, it is quite clear that the September tests considerably strengthened the USSR against those who are itching to attack it.

The Big Business warmakers held off from attacking the Soviet Union at one time for fear of losing the rest of the world. Now they hold off for fear of losing the war.

Soviet preparedness alone—without other successful socialist revolutions—cannot prevent a war forever, of course. But the likelihood is very great that the Soviet tests in September prevented the World War of 1961.

Imperialist Savages of UN Slander the Congolese With Cry of "Chaos and Murder"

By Sam Marcy

The unprecedented hue and cry by the kept press of world imperialism against the so-called murder of 13 Italian soldiers of the UN, by units of the Congolese army, reveals that the ruling class can sink to even lower levels of cynicism than it did when it "deplored" the murder of Patrice Lumumba. It is barely two weeks since the Paris police killed more than fifty Algerians on the streets of Paris, arrested thirteen thousand and deported many thousands in a manner so brutal that it actually put the methods of Goebbels and Himmler into the shade.

Was there a loud outburst of condemnation against this savage treatment of a colonial people whose blood has been flowing for seven long years?

The repressions against the Algerians have reached such proportions that no one who has followed the events can call the murderous onslaught of the French imperialist butchers anything but a war of extermination against an entire people.

Time and time again Algeria has come before the UN. But just as many times, the French imperialists have arrogantly walked out and thumbed their noses at the so-called court of world public opinion. Their pat stand has always been: "Algeria is strictly an internal problem of France." Yet who among the bourgeois apologists has bothered very much to condemn the French in a way that really counts, for their boycott of the UN.

How long has it been since de Gaulle's paratroopers shot down in cold blood 800 men, women, and children in Bizerte, Tunisia—one of the most barbarous attacks in recent history.

Where was the UN? Where was the loud outburst of condemnation from the Secretary-General?

But oh how different is the situation when it comes to the Congo. Now the

new Secretary-General acts with truly supersonic speed in condemning the Congolese army. The death of the UN soldiers is called "outright savagery," and the willing tool of the imperialist masters, U Thant, demands that the Congolese soldiers "receive the punishment they deserve."

No, U Thant, not the Congolese soldiers, but you and your imperialist masters should be punished—for it is you

who have committed outright savagery not once, but hundreds of times.

The Congolese people, who have been clubbed, maimed, butchered and exploited by the most savage methods known in modern times—they cannot consider the running of their own country as an "internal affair." They cannot walk out of the so-called "court of world public opinion." They must be made to toe the line—if need be, by an array of the

most overwhelming force and violence. And if by chance, 13 foreign soldiers—yes, 13—are killed, then all the evil gods of imperialist and colonialist slander and vilification must descend upon the Congolese people with as much venom as though the Congolese had just exploded a bomb on one of the imperialist capitals of the world.

How many of the 30 to 40 thousand Balubas now in concentration camps are dying every day for lack of food and medicine—the result of UN imperialist machinations in the Congo.

Whose land has been robbed? Whose natural resources have been exploited and despoiled? Whose wealth has been transported? Whose country is now divided and dismembered by a foreign oppressor?

Are Congolese soldiers in control of the airports in Brussels, London, and New York? Or is the hated imperialist oppressor—by a multitude of different methods—in charge of all the economic arteries of life in the Congo? Is it by the consent of the Congolese—or against their will, that Swedes, Irish, Italians, Americans, Malaysians, Indians and others are now marauding their country?

Has there ever been a country invaded by such a multitude of foreign troops? It's more than a year since the last General Assembly meeting of the UN took place—from which so much was expected in certain quarters. At that time we said (WW, Sept. 10, 1960):

"A world crisis of gigantic proportions is now in the making. . . . The aim of the imperialists is to permit a verbal attack from all the anti-imperialist countries, and at the same time—by force, violence, and conspiracy—to liquidate the Congo Republic."

How splendidly they have proceeded with their cruel and sinister scheme!



Above are a handful of the 13,000 Algerians who were arrested in Paris last month for demonstrating against a curfew that forbids them to be out after dark.

According to the verified report of a French soldier, hundreds of these prisoners were made to run a gauntlet of French cops and were "beaten the entire length of it with riot clubs, blackjacks and rifle butts." The next day, seven Algerians were found floating in the Seine.

Several of their imprisoned leaders (for picture see WW Oct. 27) were among thousands who have been on a hunger strike for weeks, and are now in a prison hospital, probably near death.

Meantime the struggle for Algerian independence is in its eighth year. And French imperialism—aided financially by U.S. imperialism—continues to enslave 10 million people who want to be free.

—Adenauer

(Continued from Page 1)

independence. This only means that Washington cannot just turn Bonn's foreign policy around at a moment's notice. But that it can do it, is not open to serious doubt. It must take care, however, not to show its hand, as this would only add to the discredit of the Bonn regime. In a word, Bonn is not just a satellite in Wall Street's empire.

But it certainly is not strong enough to carry out, at least in the foreseeable future, an independent policy without the consent of its Washington masters. This is important for American workers to know. American workers must focus their attention on the main generator of imperialist war, colonial robbery, and world reaction—which is located right here in Wall Street and Washington and not in Bonn.

All the neo-fascist tendencies in Western Germany today have been carefully, nourished and cultivated by the very same gang of financial pirates who are right now busy plotting the overthrow of the Cuban government, and keeping Batista henchmen quietly on the payroll until the day that they can become useful again.

The struggle against the rise of neo-nazi tendencies in Germany must be conducted by the German working class as part of the overall struggle against the West German ruling class, which protects and encourages these nazi tendencies.

We in this country must conduct our struggle against a rearmament and re-nazified Germany in such a way as to bring out the basic class truth of the mutual relations between West Germany and the U.S. And that is, that the entire social, political and military class structure of West Germany is

Kennedy Worries—

Is South Korean "Strong Man" Strong Enough to Stop Revolution?

By Ana Kezman

What was behind the recent visit of General Chung Hee Park of South Korea to Washington? It seemed a rather odd time for him to come here.

General Park, it must be remembered, is the latest of U.S. supported South Korean dictators. He is distinguished from his predecessors in that it can be truly said of him that he rules solely by naked military force. He suspended the South Korean constitution, which even Syngman Rhee did not dare to do.

He can't even afford the fiction of a parliamentary body of some sort to cover his rule. And there is not a law which he is, in his present status, bound to observe. His mainstay of support is a narrow faction of the South Korean military, the so-called "officer corps."

The hostility of the South Korean population to the government is such that it is said none of the ruling group dare to be seen in the streets of Seoul "without a regiment."

General Park's discussions with his Washington masters, was not disclosed while he was here. But *Business Week*, the authoritative organ of high finance in the U.S., disclosed in its November 18 issue what the talks were about.

Gen. Park is considered to be in

the creature of U.S. monopoly capital, and that the Kennedy administration is its chief political defender in the U.S.

Kennedy's talks with Adenauer are calculated to deceive the workers here as well as in West Germany. Our job is to unmask him.

such deep trouble at home that he is willing to practically do anything just to hang on to his job. To do so, he is willing to sell anything in South Korea—which the corporations don't already own.

The article makes it plain that he offered a new foreign investment law which would practically give U.S. corporations a free run of whatever they undertake to do as investors in South Korea.

He also offered "the natural resources, such as large tungsten and other mineral reserves" for exploitation under conditions which would be a giveaway by even the worst imperialist standards.

Finally, he assured the business leaders in the New York "financial community," of "cheap and plentiful labor." All of this—and more—for 300 million dollars.

There was only one reason why Washington didn't grab the offer with both hands, and proceed to sign and seal the proper documents. Kennedy was all too worried that perhaps by the time General Park got back to South Korea, he might find himself in a prison cell next to his buddy whom he deposed (General Chang).

The mass resentment in South Korea against the current regime and its U.S. masters, is said to be of such a violent character, that a second and much more profound rebellion by the masses than took place last year, is clearly on the horizon.

No wonder so many U.S. troops are kept in South Korea!

Self-Determination

From N.Y. Times of Oct. 13, 1961

"When American diplomats have told some of the African leaders that the West Berliners ought to enjoy the right of self-determination, the response has been 'Self-determination — then what about Angola?'"

Like Mississippi

In Tokyo, more than 1,000 members of the Burakumin (termed by a Reuters dispatch as a "looked-down-upon Japanese menial class") — recently staged a demonstration outside the Legislature.

They demanded their Constitutional rights.

As of November 19, the U.S. had made 174 tests of nuclear weapons and the Soviet Union had made 87 tests.

Not Yours to Lose!

An October 4th editorial in the New York Times, calculated to soft-soap Ghanaian officials, was regarded so important, that the editorial was cabled to U.S. representatives in Ghana, and was privately circulated among all the diplomatic and government officials in Accra.

The Times itself revealed this in a letter by Wilton S. Dillard from Ghana. But skillful as the Times editorial was, it let its skirts show. The phrase in the long editorial "We will have lost much in the underdeveloped world by default" gave the story away.

Said one African diplomat in commenting on it to a U.S. representative. "The underdeveloped countries are not yours to lose, are they?"